



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,773	12/02/2003	Yinjun Zhu	20-526	1919
7590 01/30/2007 MANELLI DENISON & SELTER PLLC			EXAMINER	
7th Floor 2000 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-3307			STEIN, JULIE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			2617	
	,			•
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/724,773	ZHU, YINJUN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Julie E. Stein, Esq.	2617	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I will not be entered, or b) X will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-10. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered

because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

JES

Application/Control Number: 10/724,773

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawing objections are maintained. Applicant argues that Figures should only be labeled as prior art is if "Applicant discloses that Figure as prior art." This is completely irrelevant. A Figure is labeled Prior Art if what is shown and disclosed in the Figure is Prior Art. Furthermore, as per Applicant's specification on page 6, line 22, it is stated that Figure 2 is an existing system based on Figure 1, therefore, both Figures should be labeled as Prior Art, unless Applicant can specifically point out what is shown in the Figures that is new and unknown.

Specification

2. The objection to the specification is maintained regarding the Brief Description of the Drawings.

Claim Objections

3. In view of the claim amendments, the claim objections are withdrawn.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed December 22, 2006, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/724,773

Art Unit: 2617

the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, there is ample

motivation to combine the references as cited in the final office action. The motivation is

found in Fineberg and is specifically discussed on pages 4 and 5.

6. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant regarding whether the

combination of references teach the claimed invention and specifically, whether

Fineberg "makes up" for the "acknowledged" deficiencies of Flynn. As indicated in the

final rejection, the limitations missing from Flynn are identified and are further discussed

with reference to Flynn. For example, it is identified that Flynn fails to teach directing IP

connectivity through firewalls. It is further discussed that Fineberg teaches a system

that allows a roaming user to direct IP connectivity through firewalls to access protected

intranets. See the Final rejection, page 4. These discussions are then used to support

a motivation statement regarding one of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, the

Examiner respectfully submits that the rejection is proper and does contain the requisite

motivation.

7. In view of the above, the rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Julie E. Stein, Esq. whose telephone number is (571)

272-7897. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30 am-5:00 pm).

Page 3

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JES